

Applic. No. 10/735,232
Amdt. dated March 31, 2006
Reply to Office action of January 18, 2006

Remarks/Arguments:

Reconsideration of the application is requested.

Claims 1-8 remain in the application. Claims 2 and 3 have been amended.

In item 2 on page 2 of the above-identified Office action, claims 2 and 3 have been rejected as being indefinite under 35 U.S.C. § 112.

More specifically, the Examiner has stated that claim 2 is confusing and appears to be inaccurate. Claims 2 and 3 have been amended so as to further clarify the claims. Therefore, the rejection is believed to have been overcome.

It is accordingly believed that the specification and the claims meet the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph. Should the Examiner find any further objectionable items, counsel would appreciate a telephone call during which the matter may be resolved. The above-noted changes to the claims are provided solely for cosmetic or clarificatory reasons. The changes are not provided for overcoming the

Applic. No. 10/735,232
Amdt. dated March 31, 2006
Reply to Office action of January 18, 2006

prior art nor for any reason related to the statutory requirements for a patent.

It is appreciatively noted from item 4 on page 3 of the Office action that claims 1 and 4-8 are allowed and that claims 2 and 3 would be amended to overcome the 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph rejection from above. As noted above, claims 2 and 3 have been amended to overcome the 35 U.S.C. §112 rejection. Therefore, claims 2 and 3 are believed to be allowable as well.

Since it is believed that only allowable claims remain, the early issuance of a Notice of Allowance is solicited herewith. In the event the Examiner should still find any of the claims to be unpatentable, counsel respectfully requests a telephone call so that, if possible, patentable language can be worked out.

If an extension of time for this paper is required, petition for extension is herewith made.

Applic. No. 10/735,232
Amdt. dated March 31, 2006
Reply to Office action of January 18, 2006

Please charge any other fees which might be due with respect
to Sections 1.16 and 1.17 to the Deposit Account of Lerner
Greenberg & Stemer LLP, No. 12-1099.

Respectfully submitted,


For Applicant(s)

Alfred K. Dassler
52,794

AKD:cgm

March 31, 2006

Lerner Greenberg & Stemer LLP
Post Office Box 2480
Hollywood, FL 33022-2480
Tel: (954) 925-1100
Fax: (954) 925-1101